

APPLICATION: 15-1291 PLN, LONES - TURLEY LEVEE CONCEPTUAL DESIGN

Sponsor: King Co Water & Land Res Program: Salmon State Projects Status: Application Submitted

APPLICATION REVIEW

LINKS TO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- [Long Term Obligations - Manual 7](#)
- [Salmon Grants \(Manual 18\)](#)
- [Grant Applicant's To-Do-Lists](#)
- [Salmon Grant Applicant's To-Do-List](#)

PROJECT DETAILS

Page	Grant Manager Comment
Program & Project Type	If no preliminary design -- will need to have 15% match.
Property Details	Please add a note to each of the private property owners to indicate status and timing for securing easements and/or acquiring fee simple property.

METRICS

Page	Grant Manager Comment
Planning Metrics	Added Cultural Resources, as that is eligible and would likely be important to inform conceptual designs. Have you budgeted for an assessment?

COSTS

Page	Grant Manager Comment
Planning Cost Estimates	Added landowner willingness and left message of confirmation with Josh.
Cost Summary	Split the funding out by source. Please confirm this is accurate. Unless you get to preliminary design (as defined in Manual 18 Appendix D-2), 15% match is required.

APPLICATION QUESTIONS

Page	Grant Manager Comment
Planning Questions	OGM recommended that the Cultural Resources Assessment be initiated as part of this phase of design.

PROJECT PERMITS

Page	Grant Manager Comment
Project Permits	We recognize that permits will not be completed in this phase, but prefer to see the permits that will be necessary. Sponsor chose not to respond.

ATTACHMENTS

Page	Grant Manager Comment
Attachments	<p>Please attach the 2013 report that prioritized this reach for restoration.</p> <p>Please provide more line item details on your cost estimate. Why the need to purchase equipment? Will need to follow RCO equipment policies -- see reimbursement manual for more information. When invoicing for this project, the description of work should align to this cost estimate.</p> <p>The scope of work includes activities beyond what SRFB considers necessary for conceptual design. There was a lot of talk about whether you have a conceptual design already. It could be helpful to go back to the project description in PRISM and to the 10 page Salmon project narrative and make it clear that you will be completing a feasibility study that considers these two sites in detail, developing design alternatives, assessing each, and selecting the preferred alternative by</p>

engaging the community in the selection process. In addition to completing conceptual designs for the preferred alternative, it sounds like the County is proposing to conduct the field investigations necessary to advance preliminary designs – but the Prelim Design will not be afforded within the proposed budget. You might not want to limit your scope description to conceptual design – make it clear that you are proposing more.

I heard big concerns about whether it makes sense to invest \$\$\$ in field investigations without having willing landowners. So it could also be helpful to incorporate landowner willingness surveys into your project scope of work.

I could see this project being conditioned to limit geotech and other site investigations until landowners are supportive of the preferred alternative, and the necessary acquisitions have closed (county has site control).