

# Salmon Recovery Funding Board Individual Comment Form



<b>Lead Entity:</b>	Hood Canal Coordinating Council
<b>Project Number:</b>	15-1189
<b>Project Name:</b>	Big Quilcene River Floodplain Key Pieces 2015
<b>Project Sponsor:</b>	Jefferson County Environmental Health
<b>Grant Manager:</b>	Mike Ramsey

	<b>Date</b>	<b>Status<sup>1</sup></b>
Post-Application		
Final	9/23/15	<b>Clear</b>

## PROJECT SUMMARY *(for Review Panel reference only)*

This project will acquire 2 residential properties prone to flooding (1.56 acres) and restore them to natural riparian conditions as part of a larger ongoing effort to re-establish a channel migration corridor for the lower Big Quilcene River. The project will support Hood Canal Summer Chum and Puget Sound Steelhead. Two homes, on-site systems and outbuildings will be removed, two wells will be decommissioned and native conifers and shrubs will be under-planted and re-established in disturbed areas. Acquisition of the properties is necessary to implementing restoration concepts and proposals that aim to set back 1,350' of existing riverine levy on the north side of the river in order to improve habitat, floodplain function and endeavor to manage the floodplain in alignment with community values and priorities (e.g. SRFB #14- 2215 and a pending Jefferson County Floodplains by Design 2015 application). If the subject acquisitions are successful, one additional acquisition (at a minimum) would be needed prior to relocating the dike. Lower Big Quilcene River salmon restoration design alternatives are being formulated and costs and benefits weighed by the Hood Canal Salmon Enhancement Group and its partners. The proposed acquisitions are adjacent to open space lands acquired over many years and held by Jefferson County, WDFW, HCSEG, and others to support the vision of a well-functioning Lower Big Quilcene River riparian corridor and estuary.

## FINAL REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

**Date:** 9/23/15

**Final Project Status:** **Clear**

**Review Panel Member(s):** Full Panel Review

- 1. If the project is a POC, please identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:**
- 2. If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:**
- 3. Other comments:**

## POST-APPLICATION REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

**Date:**

**Project Status:** Click to choose a status

**Review Panel Member(s):**

- 1. If the project is a POC, identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:**
- 2. If the project is a POC, identify the changes that would make this a technically sound project:**
- 3. If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:**
- 4. General comments:**

<sup>1</sup> CLEAR: Cleared to proceed; CONDITIONED: Cleared to proceed with a condition; NMI: Needs More Information; POC: Project of Concern; NOTEWORTHY: Exemplary Project

# Salmon Recovery Funding Board

## Individual Comment Form



### SPONSOR RESPONSE INSTRUCTIONS:

If your project is not cleared (i.e. has a status of NMI, Conditioned, or POC) you must update your proposal, PRISM questions, or attachments as necessary to address the review panel's comments. Use track changes when updating your proposal. Fill out the section at the end of your project proposal to document how you responded to comments.

### DRAFT APPLICATION / SITE VISIT REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: May 18, 2015

Project Site Visit?

Yes  No

Review Panel Member(s): Schlenger and Cramer

1. **Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria:**

2. **Missing Pre-application information:**

3. **General Comments:**

Acquisition of the 2 properties is necessary to implement restoration concepts and proposals that aim to set the north levy back in order to improve habitat, floodplain function and align recovery goals with community values and priorities. One additional property acquisition is needed to setback the levee and will be pursued if the landowner agrees. The application states the landowner was an unwilling seller several years ago and has not been approached recently. If the landowner is unwilling to move forward with selling this critical parcel, please discuss if and how a levee setback will be pursued.

4. **Staff Comments:** All of the questions are not addressed in the Salmon Project Proposal (narrative) attached in PRISM. It appears the applicant did not use the current version in Manual 18:

[http://www.rco.wa.gov/documents/manuals&forms/Manual18Appendices/Appendix\\_C\\_RestAcqCombProposal.docx](http://www.rco.wa.gov/documents/manuals&forms/Manual18Appendices/Appendix_C_RestAcqCombProposal.docx)

Please review the current form and update your proposal prior to the final submission deadline.



### SPONSOR RESPONSE INSTRUCTIONS:

Revise your project proposals using "track changes" and update any relevant PRISM questions and attachments. Fill out the section at the end of your project proposal to document how you responded to comments.