

# Salmon Recovery Funding Board

## Individual Comment Form



<b>Lead Entity:</b>	Hood Canal Coordinating Council
<b>Project Number:</b>	15-1195
<b>Project Name:</b>	Skokomish Valley Rd Realignment Conceptual Design
<b>Project Sponsor:</b>	Mason Conservation District
<b>Grant Manager:</b>	Mike Ramsey

	Date	Status <sup>1</sup>
Post-Application		
Final	9/23/15	Clear

### PROJECT SUMMARY *(for Review Panel reference only)*

This project will develop conceptual designs that accomplish the following: Relocate the Skokomish Valley Road outside of the South Fork Skokomish riparian area. Reconnect up to 60 acres of floodplain to the South Fork Skokomish. Restore the right bank and riparian area of the Skokomish South Fork to include removal of 800' of rock bank armor, incorporation of large woody debris at least into the river bank and possibly add engineered log jams to the channel to maintain a low-flow channel and increase habitat complexity. Investigate adding a meander to the river channel to create channel complexity and improve sediment transport. Remove or relocate the Vance Creek Bridge to remove a channel constriction and improve sediment and LWD transport.

### FINAL REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

**Date:** 9/23/15

**Final Project Status:** Clear

**Review Panel Member(s):** Full Panel Review

1. If the project is a POC, please identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:
2. If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:
3. Other comments:

### POST-APPLICATION REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

**Date:**

**Project Status:** Click to choose a status

**Review Panel Member(s):**

1. If the project is a POC, identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:
2. If the project is a POC, identify the changes that would make this a technically sound project:
3. If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:
4. General comments:



### SPONSOR RESPONSE INSTRUCTIONS:

If your project is not cleared (i.e. has a status of NMI, Conditioned, or POC) you must update your proposal, PRISM questions, or attachments as necessary to address the review panel's comments. Use track changes when updating your proposal. Fill out the section at the end of your project proposal to document how you responded to comments.

<sup>1</sup> CLEAR: Cleared to proceed; CONDITIONED: Cleared to proceed with a condition; NMI: Needs More Information; POC: Project of Concern; NOTEWORTHY: Exemplary Project

# Salmon Recovery Funding Board Individual Comment Form



## DRAFT APPLICATION / SITE VISIT REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: May 20, 2015

Project Site Visit?

Yes  No

Review Panel Member(s): Schlenger and Cramer

1. Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria:

2. Missing Pre-application information:

3. General Comments:

Relocating the road and removing the hardened bank is an essential step forward in restoring natural riverine channel based processes in this reach of the South Fork Skokomish River.

Please provide a parcel map showing public and private ownership along with the potential road relocation options. Discuss if acquisition will likely be needed and if so, why isn't acquisition feasibility/outreach included in the project scope and budget? Also provide an aerial showing the G.I projects which will move forward in 2018 and how these projects will be sequenced with respect to this project. The map referenced during the site visit to show 1938 and 2013 conditions should be posted to PRISM to show the project area's proximity of Vance Creek and Swift Creek.

The objectives listed in section 4B of the application are not the project's objectives; rather they are a list of tasks/scope of work. Objectives should support and refine the goals, breaking them down into smaller steps. Objectives are specific, quantifiable actions and should be "SMART": Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Time-bound. Some examples of goals and objectives are in Manual 18, page 90.

More budget detail is needed. What field data are proposed to be collected? How were the costs estimated, specifically including the geotechnical costs (5x\$20,000)? Costs for landowner outreach/public meetings/stakeholder workgroups are not shown in the cost estimate. Please show these costs as a separate line item in the cost estimate.

Ensure the acreage and length estimates in the proposal and project summary are consistent.

4. Staff Comments:



### SPONSOR RESPONSE INSTRUCTIONS:

Revise your project proposals using "track changes" and update any relevant PRISM questions and attachments. Fill out the section at the end of your project proposal to document how you responded to comments.