

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Individual Comment Form



Lead Entity:	Hood Canal Coordinating Council
Project Number:	15-1197
Project Name:	Weaver Creek Reconnection
Project Sponsor:	Mason Conservation District
Grant Manager:	Mike Ramsey

	Date	Status¹
Post-Application	9/23/15	Conditioned
Final	10/28/15	Conditioned

PROJECT SUMMARY *(for Review Panel reference only)*

The goal of this project is to reduce the negative impacts of low dissolved oxygen levels on juvenile and adult Puget Sound Chinook in a 2,900' long treatment reach in lower Weaver Creek. The objective of the project is to restore a free flowing outlet for Weaver Creek that will alleviate the degraded water quality conditions that currently characterize this reach. The free flowing outlet will be created by installing a 750' channel to connect the stagnant section of Weaver Creek to the freeflowing waters of Purdy Creek located immediately south of the project site. The instream and riparian habitat of the newly created reconnection channel will be enhanced by installing 25 habitat logs along the meander beds of the channel and creating a 100' native riparian buffer on both banks (4.0 acres total). The Skokomish Tribe will continue to monitor dissolved oxygen levels in the project area to ensure that the postrestoration water quality conditions reflect the restoration goals and objective.

FINAL REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: _____ **Final Project Status:** Choose an item.

Review Panel Member(s): _____

- If the project is a POC, please identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:**
- If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:**
Submit the preliminary design deliverables as required in Appendix D-2 of Manual 18 to the review panel for review and approval prior to releasing funds for construction. The review panel requires 30 days review and comment period, and this timeframe needs to be built into the project schedule.
- Other comments:**

POST-APPLICATION REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: 9/23/15 **Project Status:** Conditioned

Review Panel Member(s): Full panel review

- If the project is a POC, identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:**
- If the project is a POC, identify the changes that would make this a technically sound project:**
- If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:**

¹ CLEAR: Cleared to proceed; CONDITIONED: Cleared to proceed with a condition; NMI: Needs More Information; POC: Project of Concern; NOTEWORTHY: Exemplary Project

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Individual Comment Form



Submit the preliminary design deliverables as required in Appendix D-2 of Manual 18 to the review panel for review and approval prior to releasing funds for construction. The review panel requires 30 days review and comment period, and this timeframe needs to be built into the project schedule.

4. General comments:



SPONSOR RESPONSE INSTRUCTIONS:

If your project is not cleared (i.e. has a status of NMI, Conditioned, or POC) you must update your proposal, PRISM questions, or attachments as necessary to address the review panel's comments. Use track changes when updating your proposal. Fill out the section at the end of your project proposal to document how you responded to comments.

DRAFT APPLICATION / SITE VISIT REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: May 20, 2015

Project Site Visit?

Yes No

Review Panel Member(s): Paul Schlenger and Michelle Cramer

1. Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria:

Provide justification for finding that the available modeling and on-site observations point to the proposed project being self-sustaining. In particular, is the resolution adequate?

More budget detail is needed to describe the contributing elements of the construction category cost provided in preliminary budget. What quantity of material will be excavated and where will it be disposed? What assumptions for channel dimensions have been assumed for the excavation volume? What is the planting plan for the 1.2 acres of riparian planting within 100 ft of created channel and is it rolled into the construction category cost provided in the preliminary budget?

Provide more information on drainage area and flow rates for Purdy Creek and Weaver Creek. How do the flow rates compare and what are the potential water quality effects (especially DO and temperature) to Purdy Creek if Weaver Creek flows into it?

2. Missing Pre-application information.

3. General Comments:

The proposed project includes the preparation of engineering designs and construction of the project. Given that the estimated construction costs exceed \$250,000, the review panel will need to review the preliminary designs before subsequent design work and construction can proceed. The sponsor is encouraged to plan for this review step in terms of project schedule.

4. Staff Comments:



SPONSOR RESPONSE INSTRUCTIONS:

Revise your project proposals using "track changes" and update any relevant PRISM questions and attachments. Fill out the section at the end of your project proposal to document how you responded to comments.

Salmon Recovery Funding Board Individual Comment Form

