

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Individual Comment Form



Lead Entity:	Hood Canal
Project Number:	15-1204
Project Name:	Lower Big Quilcene River Preliminary Design-Phase 2
Project Sponsor:	Hood Canal Salmon Enhancement Group
Grant Manager:	Mike Ramsey

	Date	Status¹
Post-Application	9/23/15	Conditioned
Final	10/28/15	Conditioned

PROJECT SUMMARY *(for Review Panel reference only)*

The overall project, led by the Hood Canal Salmon Enhancement Group with a coalition of partners including The Nature Conservancy and Jefferson County is to integrate a river and floodplain restoration planning and design project along the lower 1.2 mile of the Big Quilcene River. This phase of the project is to develop a 30% preliminary design to restore the Lower Big Quilcene River through community collaboration of project goals; 1) compatible with shellfish resources, 2) benefit flood risk reduction, 3) ecosystem restoration, 4) improved salmon habitat, 5) expanded floodplain connectivity water quality improvements and protections, 6) enhanced recreational access and 7) educational opportunities.

This design/planning project will build upon each other through phases. Phase 1 includes completing 3 conceptual designs through current SRFB project #13-1209 by 2015. Phase 2, this proposal (#15-1204) will continue phase 1 efforts with the completion of tasks necessary to complete a 30% preliminary design which will incorporate all project goals and benefits.

FINAL REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: 10/28/15

Final Project Status: **Conditioned**

Review Panel Member(s): Full Panel Review

- If the project is a POC, please identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:**
- If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:**
Before proceeding with the preliminary design tasks, the sponsor will provide the selected alternative and associated documentation for review and approval by the review panel. Please allow up to 30 days for review.
- Other comments:**
The review panel appreciates the sponsor’s work to address earlier comments and structuring the proposal to get to the 30% design deliverable.

POST-APPLICATION REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: 9/23/15

Project Status: Conditioned

¹ CLEAR: Cleared to proceed; CONDITIONED: Cleared to proceed with a condition; NMI: Needs More Information; POC: Project of Concern; NOTEWORTHY: Exemplary Project

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Individual Comment Form



Review Panel Member(s): Full Panel Review

1. **If the project is a POC, identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:**
2. **If the project is a POC, identify the changes that would make this a technically sound project:**
3. **If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:**

The project is conditioned to not proceed until enough additional funds are secured to allow the design work to reach the preliminary design milestone. As currently proposed, the project would make some progress on important topics to inform the design, but the project wouldn't complete any planning or design stage. The project is a large-scale restoration project and the review panel commends the sponsor for their work trying to cobble together funding. However, it is important that the proposed project is part of an overall effort that will reach the next design milestone, in this case preliminary design.

4. **General comments:**



SPONSOR RESPONSE INSTRUCTIONS:

If your project is not cleared (i.e. has a status of NMI, Conditioned, or POC) you must update your proposal, PRISM questions, or attachments as necessary to address the review panel's comments. Use track changes when updating your proposal. Fill out the section at the end of your project proposal to document how you responded to comments.

DRAFT APPLICATION / SITE VISIT REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: May 18, 2015

Project Site Visit?

Yes No

Review Panel Member(s): Schlenger and Cramer

1. **Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria:**

2. **Missing Pre-application information:**

Please provide a detailed description of the projects tasks, who will be responsible for each, what the deliverables will be and a schedule. Be more specific on what other feasibility investigations will be needed.

Additional cost estimate details are needed for the engineering and consultant services; and the partner team contractual.

3. **General Comments:**

The review panel understands the SRFB project #13-1209 will develop a suite of conceptual designs by November 2015 and it is critical for the integrity of the project process and maintaining trust with the stakeholders that no-preconceived configurations of restoration elements be presented in the application. However, the review panel does need to better understand the restoration elements, and combination of elements, under consideration through the stakeholder process. Please provide an up-to-date summary of progress made under SRFB project #13-1209 project with the final application submittal including the restoration elements likely to move forward in the alternatives analysis. Please provide a table summarizing actions and deliverables completed from Phase 1 and proposed for phase 2 projects. Please be specific on what the data gaps are from phase 1 and what gaps will be collected in Phase 2. The results of Phase 1 needs to be

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Individual Comment Form



shared and vetted with the stakeholders prior to continuing design work on the restoration concept that has not been shared. In the end, this project may not be ready to proceed until more stakeholder work has been done.

Please correct the name of the model from Delph 3D to Delft 3D.

- 4. Staff Comments:** In the Salmon Project Proposal page 4, section 5A the last sentence states that the applicant will seek additional funding for final design. While this an important outcome for the project, SRFB funding cannot be used to seek additional grant funding.



SPONSOR RESPONSE INSTRUCTIONS:

Revise your project proposals using “track changes” and update any relevant PRISM questions and attachments. Fill out the section at the end of your project proposal to document how you responded to comments.