

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Individual Comment Form



Lead Entity:	Nisqually River Salmon Recovery Lead Entity
Project Number:	15-1232
Project Name:	Mashel Eatonville Phase III Conservation Easement
Project Sponsor:	Nisqually Land Trust
Grant Manager:	Elizabeth Butler

	Date	Status ¹
Post-Application		
Final	9/23/15	Clear

PROJECT SUMMARY *(for Review Panel reference only)*

The Nisqually Land Trust proposes to acquire a conservation easement on a 5-acre property on the east side of the Little Mashel River, near the confluence of the Little Mashel with the Mashel River and adjoining the Mashel Eatonville Restoration Phase III project. The northeast corner of this property also touches the Mashel River shoreline upstream of the confluence. This property includes approximately 700 feet of shoreline and Chinook salmon habitat along the Little Mashel and is adjacent to land owned and managed by the Nisqually Land Trust and the Town of Eatonville. The goal of the project is to acquire development rights on the property to prevent further residential development and thus to eliminate further degradation of Chinook salmon and steelhead trout habitat on the Little Mashel and on Lower Mashel River Reach A and B. Both the Nisqually Chinook Salmon Recovery Plan and the Nisqually Steelhead Recovery Plan rank Lower Mashel Reach A and B as high-priority for salmon recovery. The target property currently has one home and several outbuildings but is zoned for up to 20 homes.

FINAL REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: 9/23/15

Final Project Status: Clear

Review Panel Member(s): Full Panel Review

1. If the project is a POC, please identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:
2. If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:
3. Other comments:

POST-APPLICATION REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date:

Project Status: Click to choose a status

Review Panel Member(s):

1. If the project is a POC, identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:
2. If the project is a POC, identify the changes that would make this a technically sound project:
3. If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:
4. General comments:



SPONSOR RESPONSE INSTRUCTIONS:

¹ CLEAR: Cleared to proceed; CONDITIONED: Cleared to proceed with a condition; NMI: Needs More Information; POC: Project of Concern; NOTEWORTHY: Exemplary Project

Salmon Recovery Funding Board Individual Comment Form



If your project is not cleared (i.e. has a status of NMI, Conditioned, or POC) you must update your proposal, PRISM questions, or attachments as necessary to address the review panel's comments. Use track changes when updating your proposal. Fill out the section at the end of your project proposal to document how you responded to comments.

DRAFT APPLICATION / SITE VISIT REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: June 3, 2015

Project Site Visit?

Yes No

Review Panel Member(s): Cramer and Tyler

1. Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria:

Explain in the proposal the spatial proximity and relationship to application 15-1231.

2. Missing Pre-application information:

Include additional detail in the scope of work, including the target month by which each task will be completed.

3. General Comments:

Acquisition of a conservation easement on this property is a good companion to the restoration work being conducted at the same location.

4. Staff Comments:



SPONSOR RESPONSE INSTRUCTIONS:

Revise your project proposals using "track changes" and update any relevant PRISM questions and attachments. Fill out the section at the end of your project proposal to document how you responded to comments.